

PRACTICAL DERMATOLOGY

[Translated article] Wood's Light in Inflammatory and Autoimmune Dermatoses, Infections and Skin Cancer

S. Gomez-Martinez^a, J. Ibaceta Ayala^b, D. Morgado-Carrasco^{a,c,*}

^a Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^b Integramédica, Redsalud, Santiago, Chile

^c Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital de Figueras, Fundació Salut Empordà, Figueras, Girona, Spain

Received 15 April 2024; accepted 21 July 2024

KEYWORDS

Erythrasma;
Mohs surgery;
Wood light;
Progressive macular hypomelanosis;
Vitiligo;
Lentigo maligna

Abstract Wood's light (WL) is a useful, economical and easy-to-learn diagnostic tool. Despite its advantages, the use of LW among dermatologists is limited. In porokeratosis, the "diamond necklace" sign has been described, corresponding to the white fluorescence of the hyperkeratotic scale. Subclinical morphea lesions are seen as well-defined dark macules. Among the pigmentary disorders, the bluish fluorescence of vitiligo, the increased contrast of epidermal melasma, and the follicular-centered red fluorescence of progressive macular hypomelanosis stand out. Regarding skin infections, erythrasma presents a coral red fluorescence; tinea versicolor, yellow-green fluorescence; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, green fluorescence; and scabies, blue-white fluorescence in the acarine burrows. In skin cancer, LW has been used to delimit the surgical margins of both lentigo maligna and non-melanoma skin cancer, with variable results. © 2024 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Eritrasma;
Cirugía de Mohs;
Luz de Wood;
Hipomelanosis macular progresiva;
Vitiligo;
Lentigo maligno

Luz de Wood en dermatosis inflamatorias, autoinmunes, infecciones y cáncer cutáneo

Resumen La luz de Wood (LW) es una herramienta diagnóstica útil, económica y de fácil aprendizaje. A pesar de sus ventajas, el uso de la LW entre los dermatólogos es limitado. En la poroqueratosis, se ha descrito el signo de «collar de diamantes», correspondiente a la fluorescencia blanca de la escama hiperqueratósica. Las lesiones subclínicas de morfea se observan como máculas oscuras bien delimitadas. Dentro de los trastornos pigmentarios destaca la fluorescencia azulada del vitiligo, el aumento del contraste del melasma epidérmico y la fluorescencia roja foliculocentrada de la hipomelanosis macular progresiva. Respecto a las infecciones

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.07.018>

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: morgadodaniel8@gmail.com (D. Morgado-Carrasco).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.12.006>

0001-7310/© 2024 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Please cite this article as: S. Gomez-Martinez, J. Ibaceta Ayala and D. Morgado-Carrasco, [Translated article] Wood's Light in Inflammatory and Autoimmune Dermatoses, Infections and Skin Cancer, ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.12.006>

cutáneas, el eritema presenta una fluorescencia rojo coral; la tiña versicolor, fluorescencia amarillo-verdosa; la *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, fluorescencia verde, y la escabiosis, fluorescencia blanco-azulada en los surcos acarinos. En el cáncer cutáneo, la LW se ha empleado para delimitar los márgenes quirúrgicos tanto de lentigo maligno como de cáncer cutáneo no melanoma, con resultados variables.

© 2024 AEDV. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Wood's light (WL) is a rapid, cost-effective, accessible, and non-invasive diagnostic method based on the use of an ultraviolet (UV) radiation source with a wavelength of approximately 365 nm. Since its invention in 1903 by physicist Robert Wood, it has facilitated the diagnosis of multiple skin diseases.¹ It has traditionally been used for superficial fungal infections and pigmentation disorders. In recent years, the use of WL seems to have decreased among dermatologists.² In this article, we will review the utility of Wood's light in inflammatory dermatoses, such as infectious conditions, and in the management of skin cancer.

Technical aspects and devices

Originally, WL consisted of a mercury lamp with a barium silicate filter containing nickel oxide, allowing UV radiation with wavelengths from 320 up to 400 nanometers (nm), with a peak at 365 nm.¹ Classic Wood's lamps are associated with a 1.5× magnifying lens. However, they can be bulky and expensive, which may hinder their use.³ Currently, LED blacklight flashlights are available, which are lightweight, small, and with emission peaks from 365 to 395 nm and prices around €15 to €30. These have also shown to be effective for detecting fluorescence, though they lack a magnification lens.⁴⁻⁶ Recently, UV light with a 365 nm wavelength (UV365) has been added to some dermatoscopes, allowing for more detailed descriptions of fluorescence patterns in various skin diseases.⁷

The diagnostic capabilities of WL are based on the phenomenon of fluorescence. UV365 photons excite electrons in molecules called fluorophores, which, upon their return to their ground state, release photons in the visible light range.⁸ At WL wavelengths, the endogenous fluorescence of the skin mainly originates in the dermis, where cross-links of pepsin and collagenase in structural collagen emit a bluish fluorescence.^{8,9} However, melanin efficiently absorbs this wavelength, reducing the fluorescence intensity. This allows WL to highlight differences between hypo- or hyperpigmentations.^{10,11} WL also reveals the accumulation of exogenous fluorophores, such as substances produced by fungal and bacterial infections, or endogenous ones, as in the case of porphyrias (Table 1).¹²

Physical examination

WL should be used in a dark room.¹ It was traditionally recommended to turn on the lamp 60s before the examination, as mercury lamps do not emit the full spectrum

of radiation until a sufficiently high pressure is reached.¹² With LED lamps, however, this time lag is not necessary. The light source should be held 10–12 cm away from the skin,¹ although with LED lamps that have more focused light, it may be necessary to move them 30 or 40 cm away. In the case of suspected infections, washing the skin beforehand should be avoided, as it may dilute the fluorophores and produce false negatives.¹ However, in pigmentation disorders or pigmented lesions, it is preferable to wash the face and remove cosmetics and sunscreens, as these can distort the image and complicate the clinical delineation of lesions.¹³ Hyperkeratotic scales and secretions such as saliva, serum, semen, and milk¹³ are some of the causes of false positives, as well as exogenous elements, such as colored markers, laundry detergents, lint, lemon juice, cosmetics, dyes, and ointments.¹³

Precautions

Chronic exposure to UVA radiation, present in WL, is associated with the development of cataracts and ocular aging.^{14,15} However, ophthalmologists have indicated that WL has no negative ocular effects.¹⁶ It is recommended to cover the eyes of children, as their lenses lack the protective pigment found in adults, which absorbs UVA radiation, allowing it to reach the retina.^{14,15,17} Additionally, children tend to look directly into the light.

Wood's light in inflammatory or autoimmune dermatoses

In the examination of lesions of porokeratosis with WL, the "diamond necklace" sign has been described, corresponding to the white fluorescence of the hyperkeratotic scale surrounding the bluish-black center^{6,18} (Fig. 1A and B). However, this fluorescence is inconsistent.⁶ In follicular porokeratosis, a pattern of white, dotted fluorescence is observed in the central area, corresponding to the lamellae of dilated follicles.⁶ Under WL, subclinical lesions of morphea appear as well-demarcated dark macules, which can facilitate early diagnosis and follow-up of these patients.¹⁹

Wood's light in pigmentation disorders

The classic use of WL is in pigmentation disorders, such as vitiligo.^{16,20,21} The absence of melanin allows the visualization of the bluish fluorescence of the dermis with a very well-demarcated border.^{10,11} Under dermoscopy with UV365 light, homogeneous follicular fluorescence has been reported in 40% of vitiligo cases.⁷ WL can detect sub-

Table 1 Applications of Wood’s light in dermatology.

	Condition	Findings with Wood’s light
Inflammatory dermatoses	Porokeratosis Morphea in plaques	Blue-white border Dark macule
Pigmentary disorders	Progressive macular hypomelanosis Vitiligo Melasma	Red fluorescence centered on follicles Blue coloration/no fluorescence Epidermal: increased contrast. Dermal: no contrast
Infections	Tinea versicolor Erythrasma Trichobacteriosis <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> <i>Microsporum</i> <i>Trichophyton schoenleinii</i>	Yellow-green fluorescence Coral-red fluorescence Bluish coloration Green fluorescence Greenish-blue fluorescence Pale blue color
Parasitic infections	Scabies	Blue-white burrow and green mite
Skin cancer	Malignant lentigo/malignant melanoma BCC/SCC + 5-ALA	Increased pigmentation contrast Reddish coloration
Metabolic disorders	Congenital erythropoietic porphyria Porphyria cutanea tarda Hepatoerythropoietic porphyria Erythropoietic protoporphyria	Pink-red fluorescence in urine, blood, and enamel Pink-red fluorescence in urine and feces Pink-red fluorescence in urine, blood, and enamel Pink-red fluorescence in blood

BCC: basal cell carcinoma; SCC: cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma; 5-ALA: 5-aminolevulinic acid.

Source: Dyer et al.¹⁶




Figure 1 (A) Disseminated actinic porokeratosis. (B) Wood’s light: “Diamond collar” effect, white fluorescence of the hyperkeratotic scale. (C) Incipient facial vitiligo. (D) A notable increase in visibility of hypopigmented areas under Wood’s light.




Figure 2 (A) Facial melasma (cheek). (B) Enhancement of hyperpigmented areas under Wood's light. (C) Facial melasma (cheeks and upper lip). (D) Hyperpigmented areas under Wood's light.

clinical lesions, enabling early diagnosis and evaluation of treatment response²¹⁻²³ (Fig. 1C and D). In tuberous sclerosis, WL can highlight hypomelanotic macules, especially confetti depigmentation, which is less apparent under visible light than the classic lanceolate macules.²⁴⁻²⁶ In melasma, WL can help identify the depth of melanin deposition and potentially predict treatment response²⁷⁻²⁹ (Fig. 2). In epidermal melasma, hyperpigmentation darkens under WL²⁹⁻³¹. In contrast, dermal melasma does not show increased contrast.²⁹⁻³¹ However, the histological correlation of melasma classification by WL is controversial: some studies suggest good correlation, while others indicate that all melasmas have a dermal component.^{27,28,32}

Progressive macular hypomelanosis is a pigmentation disorder caused by *Cutibacterium acnes*, a gram-positive bacterium that resides in the hair follicle and produces coproporphyrin III.³³⁻³⁶ WL accentuates the hypopigmented areas and shows red fluorescence in the follicles of the hypopigmented zones³³⁻³⁵ (Fig. 3A and B). WL allows differentiation from pityriasis versicolor, which presents yellowish fluorescence (Fig. 3C and D); from pityriasis alba, which does not show fluorescence due to irregular parakeratosis; or from post-inflammatory hypopigmentation and idiopathic guttate hypomelanosis, which show the bluish fluorescence of the dermis.^{20,35}

Wood's light in cutaneous infections

Erythrasma, caused by *Corynebacterium minutissimum*, presents coral-red fluorescence due to the production of coproporphyrin III^{37,38} (Fig. 4). This allows differentiation from other causes of intertrigo that do not show fluorescence, such as irritative intertrigo, candidiasis, or inverse psoriasis.^{37,38} In the case of tinea cruris, up to

25% may exhibit blue-green fluorescence if caused by *Microsporum*, which produces the fluorophore pteridine.^{7,16} Trichobacteriosis, caused by *Corynebacterium flavescens*, shows white-yellow fluorescence attached to the axillary hair,^{37,39,40} whose fluorophore responsible remains unknown to this date.^{16,41} Pityriasis versicolor displays yellow-green fluorescence, originating from the pityriellactone porphyrin produced by *Malassezia globosa*.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ In tinea capitis caused by *Microsporum canis*, blue fluorescence is observed due to the fluorophore pteridine.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Infections by *Trichophyton* generally do not present fluorescence, with the exception of *Trichophyton schoenleinii*, which causes favus tinea and shows pale blue fluorescence.^{16,20} Infections by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* present green fluorescence, due to pyoverdine, an iron-chelating pigment.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ The use of WL in wounds with suspected superinfection can allow early diagnosis of superinfection by this pathogen, as well as in nail infections ("green nail")^{51,52} (Fig. 5A).

Wood's light in cutaneous parasitic infections

In scabies, WL reveals bluish-white fluorescence in the acarine burrow^{53,54} (Fig. 5B and C). If the burrow is evaluated with a dermatoscope with UV365 light, a white or green fluorescence point corresponding to the mite's body can be seen.^{53,54}

Wood's light in skin cancer and dermatologic surgery

Malignant lentigo

The delineation of tumor margins in malignant lentigo (ML) can be difficult. Although guidelines recommend surgical




Figure 3 (A) Progressive macular hypomelanosis. (B) Red fluorescence in the follicles of the hypopigmented areas under Wood's light (easier to see in person than in the photograph). (C) Clinically subtle pityriasis versicolor. (D) Yellow fluorescence under Wood's light.




Figure 4 (A) Inguinal erythrasma. (B) Coral-red fluorescence of under Wood's light. (C) Interdigital erythrasma on the left foot. Coral-red fluorescence under Wood's light.




Figure 5 (A) Green nail syndrome caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. (B) Scabies burrows under Wood's light (white arrows). (C) Dermoscopic image of the scabies burrows (white arrows).




Figure 6 (A) Malignant lentigo on the right ear lobe, difficult to detect and define. (B) With Wood's light, a more precise delineation was achieved, allowing for clear margins during the first stage of Mohs surgery. The black arrow shows the previous biopsy site, clearly visible under Wood's light.

margins between 5 mm and 10 mm, a recent study ($n=846$) showed that 15 mm margins were required to achieve free margins in 97% of cases (only 62% of ML had free margins with resections with a 5 mm margin).⁵⁵ In ML, the contrast generated by WL between the endogenous fluorescence of healthy skin and the darkening of areas with epidermal pigment can be useful for delineating the tumor⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹ (Fig. 6A and B), and some centers systematically use WL prior to performing Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS) in ML.⁵⁵ Case series of ML have described how WL successfully delineated the tumor, resulting in free margins in the first stage of MMS and enabling early detection of recurrences.^{56,58,59} We found only 1 prospective study comparing ML surgical margin delineation with WL vs clinical examination ($n=60$) in MMS.⁵⁷ The study followed a strict methodology: they drew and measured preoperative delineation with WL, comparing it with clinical delineation. Resection was then performed 5 mm outside the clinically delineated margins. Finally, they compared the preoperative delineation drawing with the final surgical defect (after achieving free margins with MMS). Only in 7 cases (12%), WL increased the delineation of resection margins vs clinical examination, and only in 1 of these cases did the WL-delineated margins histologically correspond to affected margins by ML. In this patient, WL would have reduced the number of MMS stages needed. In the remaining 6 cases, WL overestimated tumor margins. The authors concluded that the utility of WL in MMS for ML was limited, as it would have overestimated surgical margins, leading to unnecessarily larger final defects.⁵⁷ However, WL showed a high negative predictive value (87%), so it can be deduced that if WL does not highlight suspicious areas outside of the clinical preoperative delineation, the probability of tumor presence is low, and adjusted margins (of 5 mm) could be performed, though this needs to be evaluated in larger prospective studies.

Basal cell carcinoma

In basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC), WL can be used alone (Fig. 7A and B) or after applying 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA), where tumor cells emit red fluorescence under WL due to the accumulation of the fluorophore protoporphyrin IX.⁶⁰ In a prospective

study with 27 patients with BCC, fluorescence by 5-ALA was used to delineate debulking in MMS.⁶¹ Digital fluorescence photographs were taken, and a resection margin of 1 mm was marked around the fluorescence. In 15 patients, the fluorescence diameter of the lesion was larger than its clinical diameter. In 44%, the margin delineated by fluorescence coincided with the histopathological margin, and concordance was more frequent in tumors ≤ 1 cm vs >1 cm. They concluded that a complete excision could be guaranteed with a 2 mm margin of the tumor delineated by fluorescence in lesions <1 cm and those where fluorescence coincided with the clinical lesion. For tumors >1 cm, 3 mm margins would be required.⁶¹ Regarding facial BCC, in a former study with a similar design ($n=26$), fluorescence diagnosis showed 38% sensitivity and 88% specificity rates. The authors concluded that it was not useful for delineating lesions in the H zone.⁶² However, in a different prospective study on facial BCC ($n=10$), diagnosis with 5-ALA and WL with preoperative peripheral biopsies of faint fluorescence areas achieved free margins in 90% of patients.⁶³ Two previous studies with MMS ($n=22$ and $n=12$) found a good correlation between fluorescence and histology in about 50% of patients.^{64,65} Other authors performed serial biopsies in fluorescent and non-fluorescent areas ($n=10$) and fluorescence-delineated excisions ($n=28$), finding sensitivity rates between 79% and 94%, and specificity rates between 82 and 100%, respectively.^{66,67}

Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma

A prospective study conducted among patients with cSCC treated with MMS compared 38 individuals with fluorescence-delineated debulking margins vs 29 with clinically delineated margins. The fluorescence diagnosis group required fewer MMS stages.⁶⁸

Extramammary Paget's disease

Extramammary Paget's disease (EMPD) is a rare intraepithelial neoplasm characterized by high recurrence rates despite extensive excisions or MMS.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ A prospective study with 36 patients with EMPD compared surgical margins delineated by successive biopsies in the red fluorescence zone after




Figure 7 (A) Poorly defined basal cell carcinoma on the left paranasal area. (B) Preoperative delineation with Wood's light. (C) Scar from melanoma on the right forearm, prior to margin expansion. Difficult to detect clinically. (D) Wood's light easily detects the scar (black arrows).

5-ALA and WL application vs wide excisions with a 2 cm margin.⁷¹ The 5-ALA and WL group had significantly smaller resection areas, shorter surgical times, and fewer functional sequelae. No differences were found in recurrence rates at 5 years.⁷¹ Another method involves the intravenous injection of sodium fluorescein, which accumulates in subdermal vascular dilations of EMPD-affected areas and emits green fluorescence under WL.⁷² A retrospective study of 8 patients with vulvar EMPD treated with vulvectomy used this mapping. First, biopsies of non-macroscopic-affected areas that captured the dye revealed the presence of satellite lesions in 50% of patients, which allowed better delineation of vulvectomy margins in a second surgery. After a mean follow-up of 32 months, none of the patients experienced any recurrences.⁷⁰

In our experience, WL can be a valuable tool for helping to delineate surgical margins in ML and BCC or cSCC, and we frequently use LED lamps with UVA365. However, it may over-^{57,62,66} and underestimate^{61,67} surgical margins in some cases, and most clinical evidence comes from small studies with heterogeneous methodologies. Therefore, larger prospective studies with homogeneous methodology are needed to make recommendations on its use.

Detection of previously biopsied areas

One of the most common serious medical errors in dermatology is removing a lesion different from the one previously

biopsied, due to incorrectly identifying or failing to find the area.^{73,74} WL can help detect areas of previous biopsies, thus preventing surgical errors^{73,74} (Fig. 7C and D).

Use of Wood's light in the routine clinical practice

In 2012, the *Canadian Family Physician* journal ranked WL as number one in the top 10 forgotten diagnostic procedures.⁷⁵ Although some studies suggest its use has increased over time, most refer to its underuse in the routine clinical practice.^{76,77} A survey conducted in Andalusia (Spain) showed that only 42.5% of dermatologists had WL and used it; 26% had it but did not use it, and 33% did not have it available.²

Conclusions

WL represents a fundamental tool in the diagnostic arsenal of dermatology. It is a quick, cost-effective technique with a short learning curve. It can highlight specific characteristics of pigmentary disorders, as well as inflammatory, infectious, and parasitic dermatoses, providing valuable information for diagnosis and therapeutic planning. Additionally, it may assist in the delineation of surgical margins for ML and non-melanoma skin cancer, although the evidence is still limited and, in some cases, contradictory.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

References

1. Sharma S, Sharma A. Robert Williams Wood: pioneer of invisible light. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 2016;32:60–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/phpp.12235>.
2. Tercedor Sánchez J, Fernández Vilariño E, Morales Larios E, López Hernández B. Encuesta sobre el empleo de la lámpara de Wood por los dermatólogos andaluces. *Actas Dermosifiliogr*. 2000;91:442–4.
3. Uitentuis SE, Bekkenk MW, van Geel N, de Rie MA, Wolkerstorfer A. UV light set-ups for vitiligo photography, a comparative study on image quality and ease of use. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2019;33:1971–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jdv.15666>.
4. Bae JM, Lee RW. 365-nm narrowband Wood's lamp for vitiligo and hypopigmentation disorders. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2020;83:e283–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2019.08.064>.
5. Kaliyadan F, Kuruville J. Using a hand-held black-light source instead of a Wood's lamp. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2015;72:e153–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2015.02.1096>.
6. Sun R, Chen H, Zhu W, Lian S. Wood's lamp image of porokeratosis. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 2017;33:114–6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/phpp.12285>.
7. Errichetti E, Pietkiewicz P, Bhat YJ, Salwowska N, Szlęzak P, Stinco G. Diagnostic accuracy of ultraviolet-induced fluorescence dermoscopy in non-neoplastic dermatoses (general dermatology): a multicentric retrospective comparative study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2025;39:97–108, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jdv.19795>.
8. Franco W, Gutierrez-Herrera E, Kollias N, Doukas A. Review of applications of fluorescence excitation spectroscopy to dermatology. *Br J Dermatol*. 2016;174:499–504, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjd.14221>.
9. Gillies R, Zonios G, Rox Anderson R, Kollias N. Fluorescence excitation spectroscopy provides information about human skin in vivo. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2000;115:704–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1747.2000.00091.x>.
10. Alghamdi KM, Kumar A, Taïeb A, Ezzedine K. Assessment methods for the evaluation of vitiligo. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2012;26:1463–71, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2012.04505.x>.
11. Wirya SA, de Castro Maymone MB, Widjajahakim R, Vashi NA. Subclinical melasma: determining disease extent. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;77:e41–2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.03.009>.
12. Gupta L, Singhi M. Wood's lamp. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2004;70:131–5.
13. Silverberg JI, Silverberg NB. False "highlighting" with Wood's lamp. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2014;31:109–10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1470.2012.01787.x>.
14. Ivanov IV, Mappes T, Schaupp P, Lappe C, Wahl S. Ultraviolet radiation oxidative stress affects eye health. *J Biophotonics*. 2018;11, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201700377>, e201700377.
15. Roberts JE. Ultraviolet radiation as a risk factor for cataract and macular degeneration. *Eye Contact Lens*. 2011;37:246, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ICL.0b013e31821cbcc9>.
16. Dyer JM, Foy VM. Revealing the unseen: a review of Wood's lamp in dermatology. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2022;15:25–30.
17. Herro EM, Cosan T, Jacob SE. Ultraviolet protective eyewear for Wood's light use. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2011;28:351–2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1470.2011.01438.x>.
18. Thatte SS, Kharkar VD, Khopkar US. Diamond necklace appearance in superficialrokeratosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2014;70:e125–6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2013.12.026>.
19. Curtiss P, Singh G, lo Sicco K, Franks AG. Wood's lamp as a tool in the evaluation of morphea. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;78:e33–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.10.003>.
20. Klatte JI, van der Beek N, Kemperman PMJH. 100 years of Wood's lamp revised. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2015;29:842–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jdv.12860>.
21. Abdi P, Anthony MR, Farkouh C, Chan AR, Kooner A, Qureshi S, et al. Non-invasive skin measurement methods and diagnostics for vitiligo: a systematic review. *Front Med*. 2023;10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1200963>, 1200963.
22. Anbar TS, Atwa MA, Abdel-Aziz RT, Hegazy RA, Ibrahim SI, El Genedy RM, et al. Subjective versus objective recognition of facial vitiligo lesions: detection of subclinical lesions by Wood's light. *J Egypt Women's Dermatol Soc*. 2022;19:7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jewd.jewd.42.21>.
23. Wang Y, Chang C, Cheng K. Wood's lamp for vitiligo disease stability and early recognition of initiative pigmentation after epidermal grafting. *Int Wound J*. 2017;14:1391–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12800>.
24. Jacks SK, Witman PM. Tuberous sclerosis complex: an update for dermatologists. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2015;32:563–70, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pde.12567>.
25. Jóźwiak S, Schwartz RA, Janniger CK, Michałowicz R, Chmielik J. Skin lesions in children with tuberous sclerosis complex: their prevalence, natural course, and diagnostic significance. *Int J Dermatol*. 1998;37:911–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-4362.1998.00495.x>.
26. Webb DW, Carke A, Fyer A, Osborne JP. The cutaneous features of tuberous sclerosis: a population study. *Br J Dermatol*. 1996;135:1–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2133.1996.d01-923.x>.
27. Sarvjot V, Sharma S, Mishra S, Singh A. Melasma: a clinicopathological study of 43 cases. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol*. 2009;52:357, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0377-4929.54993>.
28. Neagu N, Conforti C, Agozzino M, Marangi GF, Morariu SH, Pellacani G, et al. Melasma treatment: a systematic review. *J Dermatol Treat*. 2022;33:1816–37, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09546634.2021.1914313>.
29. Bostan E, Cakir A. The dermoscopic characteristics of melasma in relation to different skin phototypes, distribution patterns and Wood lamp findings: a cross-sectional study of 236 melasma lesions. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2023;315:1927–38, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00403-023-02584-8>.
30. Sarkar R, Puri P, Jain R, Singh A, Desai A. Melasma in men: a clinical, aetiological and histological study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2010;24:768–72, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2009.03524.x>.
31. Sehgal VN, Verma P, Srivastava G, Aggarwal AK, Verma S. Melasma: treatment strategy. *J Cosmet Laser Ther*. 2011;13:265–79, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/14764172.2011.630088>.
32. Kwon SH, Hwang YJ, Lee SK, Park KC. Heterogeneous pathology of melasma and its clinical implications. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2016;17:824, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms17060824>.
33. Westerhof W, Relyveld GN, Kingswijk MM, de Man P, Menke HE. Propionibacterium acnes and the pathogenesis of progressive macular hypomelanosis. *Arch Dermatol*. 2004;140:210–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archderm.140.2.210>.
34. Gang WX, Xu A, Song XZ, Zheng JH, Wang P, Shen H. Clinical, pathologic, and ultrastructural studies of progressive macular hypomelanosis. *Int J Dermatol*. 2010;49:1127–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4632.2010.04492.x>.

35. Relyveld GN, Menke HE, Westerhof W. Progressive macular hypomelanosis: an overview. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* 2007;8:13–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00128071-200708010-00002>.
36. McDowell A, McLaughlin J, Layton AM. Is *Cutibacterium* (previously *Propionibacterium*) acnes a potential pathogenic factor in the aetiology of the skin disease progressive macular hypomelanosis? *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2021;35:338–44, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jdv.16789>.
37. Pinto M, Hundi GK, Bhat RM, Bala NK, Dankeri S, Martis J, et al. Clinical and epidemiological features of coryneform skin infections at a tertiary hospital. *Indian Dermatol Online J.* 2016;7:168–73, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/2229-5178.182351>.
38. Morales-Trujillo ML, Arenas R, Arroyo S. Eritrasma interdigital: datos clínicos, epidemiológicos y microbiológicos. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2008;99:469–73, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-7310\(08\)74718-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-7310(08)74718-5).
39. Montes de Oca-Loyola ML, Lumbán Ramírez P, Gómez-Daza F, Bonifaz A. An overview of Trichobacteriosis (Trichomycosis): an underdiagnosed disease. *Cureus.* 2023;15:e45964, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.45964>.
40. Rojas Mora E, Freitas Martínez A, Hernández-Núñez A, Borbujo Martínez J. Trichomycosis axillaris: clinical, Wood lamp, and dermoscopic diagnostic images. *Actas Dermo-Sifiliográficas Engl Ed.* 2017;108:264–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.adengl.2017.02.017>.
41. Al-Nasiri M, Navarrete-Dechent C, Korecka K, Salwowska N, Goldust M, Pietkiewicz P. Ultraviolet-induced fluorescence dermatoscopy of trichobacteriosis axillaris reveals peripilar yellow-green luminescent concretions. *Dermatol Pract Concept.* 2023;13:e2023169, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5826/dpc.1302a169>.
42. Mayer P, Stapelkamp H, Krämer HJ, Podobinska M, Wallbott W, Irlinger B, et al. Pityrialactone – a new fluorochrome from the tryptophan metabolism of *Malassezia furfur*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek.* 2003;84:185–91, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1026042903354>.
43. Shah A, Koticha A, Ubale M, Wanjare S, Mehta P, Khopkar U. Identification and speciation of *Malassezia* in patients clinically suspected of having pityriasis versicolor. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2013;58:239, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0019-5154.110841>.
44. Lang SK, Hort W, Mayer P. Differentially expressed genes associated with tryptophan-dependent pigment synthesis in *Malassezia furfur* – a comparison with the recently published genome of *Malassezia globosa*. *Mycoses.* 2011;54:e69–83, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2009.01848.x>.
45. Komatsu-Fujii T, Nonoyama S, Ogawa M, Fukumoto T, Ookura T, Anzawa K, et al. Usefulness of topical efinaconazole for infantile tinea capitis due to *Microsporum canis* diagnosed with Wood's light. *J Dermatol.* 2020;47:e401–3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.15555>.
46. Vargas-Navia N, Ayala Monroy GA, Franco Rúa C, Malagón Caicedo JP, Rojas Hernández JP, et al. Tinea capitis in children. *Rev Chil Pediatría.* 2020;91:773–83, <http://dx.doi.org/10.32641/rchped.vi91i5.1345>.
47. Yang Z, Chen W, Wan Z, Song Y, Li R. Tinea capitis by *Microsporum canis* in an elderly female with extensive dermatophyte infection. *Mycopathologia.* 2021;186:299–305, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11046-020-00519-9>.
48. Zeng J, Wang S, Guo L, Lv S, Shan B, Liu Z, et al. Pediatric tinea capitis in Jilin Province: analyzing previous results from a new perspective. *Mycopathologia.* 2023;188:515–22, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11046-023-00718-0>.
49. Amichai B, Finkelsten E, Halevy S. Early detection of *Pseudomonas* infection using a Wood's lamp. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 1994;19:449, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2230.1994.tb02713.x>.
50. Ghssein G, Ezzeddine Z. A review of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* metallophores: pyoverdine, pyochelin and pseudopaline. *Biology.* 2022;11:1711, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/biology11121711>.
51. Reis J, Cunha Velho G. Visual dermatology: using Wood's lamp to detect early infection by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Cutan Med Surg.* 2020;24:308, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1203475420915442>.
52. Agrawal I, Panda M. Utility of Wood's lamp in intertrigo. *Indian Dermatol Online J.* 2021;12:948–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/idoj.IDOJ.957.20>.
53. Yüreklü A, Muslu İ, Pektaş SD, Alataş ET, Aydoğdu CT, Daşgin D. Using ultraviolet dermatoscopy in diagnosing scabies. *Exp Dermatol.* 2023;32:1996–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/exd.14930>.
54. Scanni G. Facilitations in the clinical diagnosis of human scabies through the use of ultraviolet light (UV-scab scanning): a case-series study. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2022;7:422, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed7120422>.
55. Tate JA, Matsumoto A, Greif C, Lim J, Nijhawan RI, Srivastava D. Excision margins for melanoma in situ on the head and neck – a single-center 10-year retrospective review of treatment with Mohs micrographic surgery. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2024;90:1226–31, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2023.12.063>.
56. Atwan AA, Ziaj S, Mills CM. Defining surgical margins with Wood lamp. *Dermatol Pract Concept.* 2019;10:e2020018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5826/dpc.1001a18>.
57. Walsh SB, Varma R, Raimer D, Keane JC, Cantor A, Theos A, et al. Utility of Wood's light in margin determination of melanoma in situ after excisional biopsy. *Dermatol Surg.* 2015;41:572, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000000345>.
58. Paraskevas LR, Halpern AC, Marghoob AA. Utility of the Wood's light: five cases from a pigmented lesion clinic. *Br J Dermatol.* 2005;152:1039–44, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2005.06346.x>.
59. Navarro-Navarro I, Ortiz-Prieto A, Villegas-Romero I, Valenzuela-Ubiña S, Linares-Barrios M. Uso de la luz de Wood para la delimitación de márgenes quirúrgicos en el lentigo maligno y el lentigo maligno melanoma. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2022;113:642–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2021.06.011>.
60. Kennedy JC, Pottier RH, Pross DC. Photodynamic therapy with endogenous protoporphyrin: IX: Basic principles and present clinical experience. *J Photochem Photobiol B.* 1990;6:143–8, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1011-1344\(90\)85083-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1011-1344(90)85083-9).
61. El Hoshy K, Bosseila M, el Sharkawy D, Sobhi R. Can basal cell carcinoma lateral border be determined by fluorescence diagnosis? Verification by Mohs micrographic surgery. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther.* 2016;14:4–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pdpdt.2016.01.001>.
62. Wetzig T, Kendler M, Maschke J, Paasch U, Simon JC. No clinical benefit of preoperative fluorescence diagnosis of basal cell carcinoma localized in the H-zone of the face. *Br J Dermatol.* 2010;162:1370–6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.09666.x>.
63. Borroni RG, Barruscotti S, Carugno A, Barbaccia V, Arbustini E, Brazzelli V. Usefulness of in vivo photodiagnosis for the identification of tumor margins in recurrent basal cell carcinoma of the face. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed.* 2015;31:195–201, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/phpp.12166>.
64. Stenquist B, Ericson MB, Strandberg C, Mölne L, Rosén A, Larkö O, et al. Bispectral fluorescence imaging of aggressive basal cell carcinoma combined with histopathological mapping: a preliminary study indicating a possible adjunct to Mohs micrographic surgery. *Br J Dermatol.* 2006;154:305–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2005.07035.x>.
65. Wennberg AM, Gudmundson F, Stenquist B, Ternesten A, Mölne L, Rosén A, et al. In vivo detection of basal cell carcinoma using imaging spectroscopy. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 1999;79:54–61, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/000155599750011723>.

66. Won Y, Hong SH, Yu HY, Kwon YH, Yun SJ, Lee SC, et al. Photodetection of basal cell carcinoma using methyl 5-aminolaevulinate-induced protoporphyrin IX based on fluorescence image analysis. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2007;32:423–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2230.2007.02435.x>.
67. Neus S, Gambichler T, Bechara FG, Wöhl S, Lehmann P. Preoperative assessment of basal cell carcinoma using conventional fluorescence diagnosis. *Arch Dermatol Res.* 2009;301:289–94, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00403-008-0911-9>.
68. Jeon SY, Kim KH, Song KH. Efficacy of photodynamic diagnosis-guided mohs micrographic surgery in primary squamous cell carcinoma. *Dermatol Surg.* 2013;39:1774.
69. Kanitakis J. Mammary and extramammary Paget's disease. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2007;21:581–90, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2007.02154.x>.
70. Wagar MK, Zhang RC, Weisman P, Spencer RJ, Kushner DM. Fluorescein mapping in vulvar Paget disease. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2023;141:608–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000005084>.
71. Zhou P, Li J, Song C, Lou Y, Fu B. The application of Wood's lamp combined with 5-aminolevulinic acid for defining tumor margins in patients with extramammary Paget's disease. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther.* 2021;35:102490, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pdpdt.2021.102490>.
72. Misas JE, Cold CJ, Hall FW. Vulvar Paget disease: fluorescein-aided visualization of margins. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1991;77:156.
73. Yu SH, Leffell DJ. The use of a portable black light (UV-A) flashlight to aid in biopsy site identification. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2022;87:e143–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2021.06.881>.
74. Abdulhak AH, Que SK, Somani AK. How we do it: handheld Wood's flashlight for surgical site identification before Mohs surgery. *Dermatol Surg.* 2023;49:964, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/DSS.0000000000003877>.
75. Ponka D. Top 10 forgotten diagnostic procedures. *Can Fam Physician.* 2012;58:975.
76. Veasey JV, Fraletti Miguel BA, Bedrikow RB. Wood's lamp in dermatology: applications in the daily practice. *Surg Cosmet Dermatol.* 2017;9:328–30, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/scd1984-8773.201794964>.
77. Asawanonda P, Taylor CR. Wood's light in dermatology. *Int J Dermatol.* 1999;38:801–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-4362.1999.00794.x>.