




ACADEMIA ESPAÑOLA  
DE DERMATOLOGÍA  
Y VENEREOLOGÍA

# ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at  
[www.actasdermo.org](http://www.actasdermo.org)



## CASE AND RESEARCH LETTER

### [Translated article] Use of Micrografts for Postsurgical Wound Reconstruction: A 21-Case Series

### Uso de microinjertos para la reconstrucción en heridas quirúrgicas en 21 casos

To the Editor,

The technique of stamp skin grafts or micrografts (MGs) has become popular as a therapeutic alternative for chronic wounds. More recently, their use has been proposed to accelerate the healing of surgical wounds (SW), either in second-intention closure or after the necrosis of a flap or graft.<sup>1</sup> They promote epithelialization and reduce pain in the surgical bed. It is a minor surgical procedure that can be performed outpatiently.

To perform this technique, the SW is measured, and a donor area of the same size is marked (e.g., thigh, scalp). Under antisepsis and local anesthesia (e.g., chlorhexidine and 2% mepivacaine), small thin grafts (<1 mm thick, dermoepidermal) are extracted using a curette (4 mm up to 7 mm), forceps, scissors, or scalpel. The MGs are applied directly to the SW. Zinc oxide paste in cream or lotion is applied around the wound and covered with a calcium alginate dressing as the primary dressing.

In our series, a few details should be noted. In SWs of the lower limbs, in partially cavitated beds or those with poor granulation, the MG technique was complemented with a portable negative pressure therapy device for the first 7 days. Similarly, in patients with chronic venous insufficiency, compressive therapy was optimized by maintaining a compression dressing for 5–7 days until the first dressing change. MGs were re-evaluated at the 7- and 21-day follow-up and then individually.

We analyzed the patients' clinical characteristics, the procedural techniques, and the outcomes of 18 patients treated with MGs for SW closure at the Dermatology Depart-

ment of the Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz (Madrid, Spain) from March 2021 to March 2023.

A total of 21 MGs were implanted in 18 patients with SWs. A total of 12 (66%) out of these were men and the median age was 82 years (range, 28–100). We found anticoagulant use ( $n=9$ ; 50%), diabetes mellitus ( $n=6$ ; 33%), and smoking ( $n=2$ ; 11%) among their potential comorbidities, with potential impact on healing.

The treated SWs were located on the head ( $n=12$ ; 57%) or the lower limbs ( $n=9$ ; 43%), with a median size of 6 cm<sup>2</sup> (0.8–40 cm<sup>2</sup>). In 17 patients (80%), the SW was due to the loss or necrosis of previous grafts or flaps, while in 4 cases, MGs were used to promote the closure of a wound by second intention.

The donor sites were the scalp ( $n=12$ ; 57%) or lower limbs ( $n=5$ ; 23%) (e.g., thigh or leg); other sites were anecdotal (<5%). The median number of MGs transferred per procedure was 10 (range, 6–40). The esthetic outcome of the donor site after the procedure was acceptable in all cases, as assessed by the treating dermatologists, the patient, and their family members when evaluated through verbal interviews. The outcomes were optimal for the grafts extracted from the scalp.

After a median of 3.5 weeks (<1 month), 15 patients (83%) achieved complete epithelialization after a single procedure. Only 3 patients required a second session, in whom complete healing went on for a total of 7 weeks (<2 months); these were elderly patients (median age, 83 years) with SWs > 6 cm<sup>2</sup> located on the lower limbs, and with atrophic perilesional skin. The second sessions were performed after a 4-week interval (Fig. 1).

No postoperative infections or other complications were recorded.

Although, in our series, the MG technique proved useful for different anatomical locations where it was performed, in the lower limbs, we had to optimize compressive therapy, sometimes along with negative pressure therapy and repeated procedures in selected patients. According to the literature, we consider the scalp to be the donor area with the best healing, which is also more efficient for the recipient site, as the MGs will contain epithelial and mesenchymal stem cells that are crucial in wound epithelialization, with a higher likelihood and faster healing of the SW.<sup>2-4</sup> Since grafts are superficial—dermoepidermal—there is no risk of hair growth at the recipient site.

DOI of original article:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.02.037>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.12.010>

0001-7310/© 2024 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Please cite this article as: R. García Castro, C. Manzananas Yustas, L. Núñez Hipólito et al., [Translated article] Use of Micrografts for Postsurgical Wound Reconstruction: A 21-Case Series, ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.12.010>

Q1



Figure 1 Sequences of the MG technique in 3 patients from our series.

85 The main delays in epithelialization were observed in  
86 patients with large wounds or lesions located on the lower  
87 limbs, where the existence of poorly controlled chronic  
88 venous insufficiency negatively affected healing. Neverthe-  
89 less, all patients achieved complete epithelialization, most  
90 in less than a month.

91 Of note, the learning curve for the MG technique is flat;  
92 furthermore, the technique is simple, quick (<30 min), and  
93 requires minimal equipment. Similarly, in our experience,  
94 both patient and professional satisfaction is high, although  
it has not been objectively assessed through validated ques-

tionnaires for this purpose. The limitations of the study  
include its small sample size, the technical variability in  
obtaining the grafts, the fact that it is an operator-based  
technique, and the lack of control cases.

In conclusion, the MG technique is an additional thera-  
peutic tool for the treatment of SWs, especially after the loss  
of previous full-skin flaps or grafts. It is a technically simple,  
quick, outpatient, and cost-effective procedure, useful even  
in elderly patients with comorbidities, allowing avoidance of  
more complex procedures and offering highly satisfactory  
results.

## Funding

None declared.

## Conflicts of interest

None declared.

## References

1. Conde-Montero E, Jerónimo LP, Vázquez AP, Marín LR, Sanabria Villarpando PE, de la Cueva Dobao P. Punch grafting in postsurgical wounds. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2023;88:e79–80.
2. Martínez M-L, Escario E, Poblet E, Sánchez D, Buchón F-F, Izeta A, et al. Hair follicle-containing punch grafts accelerate chronic ulcer healing: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2016;75:1007–14.

3. Martínez Martínez ML, Escario Travesedo E, Jiménez Acosta F. Trasplante de folículos pilosos en úlceras crónicas: un nuevo concepto de injerto. *Actas Dermosifiliogr*. 2017;108:524–31.
4. Fox JD, Baquerizo-Nole KL, van Driessche F, Yim E, Nusbaum B, Jiménez F, et al. Optimizing skin grafting using hair-derived skin grafts: the healing potential of hair follicle pluripotent stem cells. *Wounds*. 2016;28:109–11.

R. García Castro, C. Manzanos Yustas, L. Núñez Hipólito, I. Alcaraz León\*

*Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Universitario Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain*

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ialcarazi@quironsalud.es](mailto:ialcarazi@quironsalud.es)

(I. Alcaraz León).

UNCORRECTED PROOF