+Model AD-4311; No. of Pages 4

ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at www.actasdermo.org



CASE AND RESEARCH LETTER

[Translated article] Pilomatricomas: Differential Characteristics Based on the Age of Presentation

Pilomatricomas: características diferenciales según la edad de presentación

To the Editor.

Introduction

Pilomatricoma is a benign neoplasm with differentiation into hair follicle matrix cells.1

Although it appears more frequently in individuals younger than 30 years, 1 a 2nd incidence peak has been described between 50 and 65 years.²

Since most of the literature describes two peaks of higher incidence, the aim of this study is to conduct a comparative analysis of the characteristics of pilomatricomas based on the age of presentation.

Material and methods

We conducted a retrospective review on 287 histologically confirmed cases of pilomatricomas from 1992 through 2023 in the South Health Area of Seville (Spain). Subsequently. we performed an analysis of their characteristics comparing three age groups (\leq 20, 21-59, and \geq 60 years).

Results

The highest proportion of cases was found in individuals under 20 years old (37.3%), followed by those aged 40-64 years (31%). Men accounted for 52% of the cases. There was a predominance of men in the 21-59 age group and women in the other groups.

In all age ranges, the most common presentation of pilomatricoma was as a subcutaneous nodule. However, in

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.12.016

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2025.03.010

0001-7310/© 2025 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

individuals over 60 years old, there was a higher proportion of lesions presenting as tumors and ulcerations.

Lesions were most frequently located on the upper limbs, except in individuals under 20 years old, where they primarily appeared on the neck and trunk. In the 21-59 age group, pilomatricomas were more common on the scalp and lower limbs than in the other two groups.

Preoperative diagnosis was correct in only 2.5% of cases. Differential diagnosis included other benign tumors, with cysts being the most common, as well as malignant tumors.

In 100% of the younger patients, pilomatricoma was identified as a benign lesion. In contrast, in individuals over 60 years old, it was mistaken for a malignant tumor in 17.1% of cases.

Most cases were evaluated by general surgery (63.3%) and other surgical specialties. Middle-aged patients were more frequently assessed by medical specialties (primary care and internal medicine) vs the other groups. Only 11% of patients were evaluated by dermatology, with older patients being the most widely referred (Table 1).

No statistically significant differences were found across age groups regarding lesion size (median = 1.2 cm), the presence of associated lesions (3.5% of cases, with cysts being the most frequent), or the existence of multiple lesions (3.5%).

Discussion

Our study population exhibits a bimodal distribution, which is consistent with observations made by other researchers. 1,3,4

There is a predominance of men, which is also similar to the findings made in the studies conducted by Lan et al.³ and Guinot-Moya et al.5

Based on our data, age influences the clinical appearance of the lesion, in contrast to the analysis by Kaddu et al., 1 which revealed no differences.

There is agreement between our series and other studies regarding lesion size and the proportion of patients with multiple tumors. 3,5-8

In most of the literature, the head and neck are the most common locations, $^{2-6,9}$ whereas in our series, the upper limb was the most frequent site. However, this is not directly comparable since we separated the head into "facial" and

Please cite this article as: J. Clavijo Herrera, J.M. Morón Ocaña, I.M. Coronel Pérez et al., [Translated article] Pilomatricomas: Differential Characteristics Based on the Age of Presentation, ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2025.03.010

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Clavijo Herrera, J.M. Morón Ocaña, I.M. Coronel Pérez et al.

Table 1 Main study results.

			p-Value				
			21-60 years (n = 135)		≥60 years (n = 45)		
	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	
Sex							
Male	49	45.80%	81	60.00%	20	44.40%	0.047
Female	58	54.20%	54	40.00%	25	55.60%	
Clinical presentation							
Subcutaneous nodule	101	97.10%	113	89.70%	26	66.70%	<0.001
Pedunculated	0	0.00%	1	0.80%	1	2.60%	
Ulcerated tumor	0	0.00%	6	4.80%	4	10.30%	
Non-ulcerated tumor	3	2.90%	6	4.80%	8	20.50%	
Location							
Scalp	2	4.00%	19	21.80%	6	18.20%	<0.001
Facial	14	28.00%	12	13.80%	9	27.30%	
Neck and trunk	20	40.00%	14	16.10%	2	6.10%	
UL	14	28.00%	29	33.30%	11	33.30%	
LL	0	0.00%	13	14.90%	5	15.20%	
Clinical diagnosis							
Benign	88	100.00%	96	94.10%	34	82.90%	<0.001
Malignant	0	0.00%	6	5.90%	7	17.10%	
Specialty							
Dermatology	10	9.60%	12	9.00%	9	20.90%	0.001
Medical	7	6.70%	32	23.90%	8	18.60%	
Surgical	87	83.70%	90	67.20%	26	60.50%	

LL, lower limbs; UL, upper limbs.

Table 2 Former studies on pilomatricoma and relevant findings for the present study.

Study	Comparative analysis by age groups	n	Sex (M/F)	Predominant location	Predominant clinical at presentation	Malignant lesion orientation % (n)	Diagnostic accuracy % (n)	Predominant specialty % (n)
Kaddu et al. (1994)	Yes, comparison of <45 and ≥45 years	118	54/64	Head and neck (no differences by age)	Firm nodule No differences by age	<45 years: 0 (0) ≥45 years: 5 (6)	21.2 (25)	100 (118) evaluated by dermatology
Han et al. (2017)	No	137	61/76	Head and neck	Firm subcutaneous nodule No differences by age	0 (0)	34 (NS)	51 (70) evaluated by dermatology
Lan et al. (2003)	No	179	88/91	Head and neck No differences by age	NS	NS	1 (2)	NS

ARTICLE IN PRESS

ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Table 2 (Continued)

Study	Comparative analysis by age groups	n	Sex (M/F)	Predominant location	Predominant clinical at presentation	lesion	Diagnostic accuracy % (n)	Predominant specialty % (n)
Hernández- Núñez et al. (2014)	No	239	119/120	Head and neck	Firm subcu- taneous nodule	2.5 (6)	54.4 (NS)	83.68 (NS) evaluated by dermatology
Al-Khateeb et al. (2007)	No	31	13/18	Head and neck (only maxillofa- cial tumors included)	Cutaneous or subcuta- neous nodule, cystic or firm consistency	NS	0 (0)	NS
Guinot-Moya et al. (2011)	No	205	107/98	Head	NS	NS	NS	NS
Çevik et al. (2019)	No	108	45/63	Upper limbs (only tumors in extremities)	Firm, bluish-toned subcuta- neous nodule	0 (0)	32 (NS) <20 years: 42 (NS)	NS
Julian et al. (1998)	No	209	84/125	Head and neck	Cutaneous or subcuta- neous nodule (20%) firm 25% calcified	8 (NS)	21 (NS)	NS
O'Connor et al. (1998)	No	201	1:1	Head and neck (only maxillofa- cial tumors)	Firm, mobile sub- cutaneous nodule	5 (10)	28 (NS)	NS

NS, not specified.

"scalp" regions and categorized cervical tumors together with those on the trunk.

Although it is rare to report the presence of associated lesions, in both the series by Hernández-Núñez et al.⁹ and ours, cysts were the most common findings (72.7%).

The accuracy of preoperative diagnostic is low, ^{3,4,7} with only 6 cases being (2.5%) correctly identified. This low proportion contrasts with the figures presented by Hernández-Núñez et al. and Han et al. (54.4% and 34.5%, ² respectively). This discrepancy is likely due to the fact that most patients in those series were evaluated by dermatologists. We agree with Julian et al. that correct diagnoses are primarily made by dermatologists (5 cases), ⁴ highlighting a lack of familiarity with this tumor among other professionals.

Cysts represent the primary differential diagnosis in both the literature reviewed^{2-4,6,9,10} and our study. In 5.6% of cases, the lesion was preoperatively considered malignant, a slightly lower rate than that reported by Julian et al.⁴

Similar to Kaddu et al., we observed that as age increases, the proportion of clinically diagnosed malignant lesions also rises (Table 2).¹

The present study includes one of the largest series to date and provides a more comprehensive comparative analysis based on age.

The limitations of this study include its retrospective design, leading to inconsistencies in data collection, and the categorization of lesion locations, which differs from previous studies, making comparisons difficult.

Conclusions

The diagnosis of pilomatricoma is challenging for physicians, even for dermatology specialists. The age of presentation influences sex distribution, lesion location, clinical presentation, and diagnostic approach.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Clavijo Herrera, J.M. Morón Ocaña, I.M. Coronel Pérez et al.

Funding

None declared.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

References

- Kaddu S, Soyer HP, Cerroni L, Salmhofer W, Hödl S. Clinical and histopathologic spectrum of pilomatricomas in adults. Int J Dermatol. 1994;33:705–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-4362.1994.TB01515.X.
- Han G, Kim AR, Song HJ, Oh CH, Jeon J. Updated view on epidemiology and clinical aspects of pilomatricoma in adults. Int J Dermatol. 2017;56:1032-6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/JD.13732.
- Lan MY, Lan MC, Ho CY, Li WY, Lin CZ. Pilomatricoma of the head and neck: a retrospective review of 179 cases. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003;129:1327–30, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/ARCHOTOL.129.12.1327.
- Julian CG, Bowers PW. A clinical review of 209 pilomatricomas. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1998;39:191-5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0190-9622(98)70073-8.
- Guinot-Moya R, Valmaseda-Castellon E, Berini-Aytes L, Gay-Escoda C. Pilomatrixoma. Review of 205 cases. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2011;16:552-7, http://dx.doi.org/10.4317/medoral.16.e552.
- 6. Schwarz Y, Pitaro J, Waissbluth S, Daniel SJ. Review of pediatric head and neck pilomatrixoma. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2016;85:148–53, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPORL.2016.03.026.

- Al-Khateeb TH, Hamasha AA-H. Pilomatricoma of the maxillofacial area in the northern regional Jordanian population: report of 31 cases. J Maxillofac Surg. 2007;65:261-6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2005.07.018.
- Çevik HB, Erkan M, Kayahan S, Bulut G, Gümüştaş SA. A skin tumor from an orthopedic oncology perspective: pilomatrixoma in extremities (11 years experience with 108 cases). Dermatol Ther. 2019;32:e13004, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/DTH.13004.
- Hernández-Núñez A, Nájera Botello L, Romero Maté A, Martínez-Sánchez C, Utrera Busquets M, Calderón Komáromy A, et al. Retrospective study of pilomatricoma: 261 tumors in 239 patients. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2014;105:699-705 [article in English, Spanish].
- O'Connor N, Patel M, Umar T, MacPherson DW, Ethunandan M. Head and neck pilomatricoma: an analysis of 201 cases. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2011;49:354–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2010.06.002.
- J. Clavijo Herrera^{a,*}, J.M. Morón Ocaña^a, I.M. Coronel Pérez^a, C.V. Almeida González^b
- ^a Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Dermatología
 Médico-Quirúrgica y Venereología, Hospital Universitario
 Nuestra Señora de Valme, Sevilla, Spain
 ^b Unidad de Estadística y Metodología de la Investigación,
 Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de Valme, Sevilla,
 Spain
- *Corresponding author. E-mail address: jch.med22@gmail.com (J. Clavijo Herrera).