



ACTAS Derma-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at
www.actasdermo.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Risk Factors for Squamous Cell Carcinoma, A Study by the National Dermatology Centre of Colombia[☆]

G. Sánchez,* J. Nova

Centro Dermatológico Federico Lleras Acosta, E.S.E, Bogotá D.C., Colombia

Received 20 September 2012; accepted 28 January 2013

Available online 20 August 2013

KEYWORDS

Skin tumors;
Squamous cell carcinoma;
Risk factors;
Solar radiation;
Case-control studies;
Colombia

PALABRAS CLAVE

Neoplasias cutáneas;
Carcinoma espinocelular;
Factores de riesgo;

Abstract

Introduction: Nonmelanoma skin cancer is the most common malignancy in white individuals. The risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma, which belongs to the family of nonmelanoma skin cancers, have not been studied in Colombia.

Objective: To determine the risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma in patients at a national referral center for skin diseases in Colombia.

Material and methods: We conducted a case-control study that evaluated sociodemographic, epidemiological, and clinical factors among 332 individuals. Risk was calculated as odds ratio (ORs) using the multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis method.

Results: The following risk factors were identified: family history of skin cancer (OR, 6.55; 95% CI, 1.4-28.9), living in a rural area after the age of 30 years (OR, 3.13; 95% CI, 1.3-7.2), a lifetime working outdoors (OR, 2.98; 95% CI, 1.5-5.7), smoking more than 10 cigarettes a day (OR, 2.96; 95% CI, 1.3-6.5), actinic conjunctivitis (OR, 2.68; 95% CI, 1.2-5.9), poikiloderma of Civatte (OR, 3.29; 95% CI, 1.7-6.1), numerous facial actinic keratoses (OR, 9.23; 95% CI, 4.9-17.1), and numerous freckles (OR, 3.68; 95% CI, 1.3-10.1).

Conclusions: We have documented clinical characteristics and personal history factors that should guide the physician in making decisions on the preventive and follow-up measures to be adopted for individuals at risk of squamous cell carcinoma. These findings may help guide policy for controlling the disease using local information.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. and AEDV. All rights reserved.

Factores de riesgo de carcinoma espinocelular, un estudio del Centro Nacional de Dermatología de Colombia

Resumen

Introducción: El cáncer de piel no melanoma es la neoplasia maligna más común en sujetos de raza blanca. A este grupo pertenece el carcinoma espinocelular, para el cual no se han estudiado en nuestro medio los factores de riesgo.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Sánchez G, Nova J. Factores de riesgo de carcinoma espinocelular, un estudio del Centro Nacional de Dermatología de Colombia. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2013;104:672–8.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: guillermosanchezvanegas@gmail.com (G. Sánchez).

Radiación solar;
Estudios de casos y
controles;
Colombia

Objetivo: Establecer los factores de riesgo de carcinoma espinocelular en pacientes de un centro nacional de referencia de enfermedad dermatológica en Colombia.

Material y métodos: Se realizó un estudio de casos y controles, que incluyó 332 sujetos. Se estudiaron factores sociodemográficos, epidemiológicos y clínicos. La estimación de riesgo empleó la razón de *odds*, la cual se estimó a través del método de análisis multivariado de regresión logística condicional.

Resultados: Se identificaron los siguientes factores de riesgo: antecedente familiar de cáncer de piel (OR: 6,55; IC 95%: 1,4-28,9), vivir en área rural después de los 30 años (OR: 3,13; IC 95%: 1,3-7,2), trabajos al aire libre a lo largo de la vida (OR: 2,98; IC 95%: 1,5-5,7), fumar más de 10 cigarrillos al día (OR: 2,96; IC 95%: 1,3-6,5), conjuntivitis actínica (OR: 2,68; IC 95%: 1,2-5,9), poiquilodermia de Civatte (OR: 3,29; IC 95%: 1,7-6,1), múltiples queratosis actínicas en la cara (OR: 9,23; IC 95%: 4,9-17,1) y múltiples efélides (OR: 3,68; IC 95%: 1,3-10,1).

Conclusiones: Se documentaron factores asociados con la historia personal y las características clínicas, que deben orientar al médico en las medidas preventivas y de seguimiento que han de adoptarse. Estos hallazgos pueden contribuir a orientar la política de control de la enfermedad con información local.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. y AEDV. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), like basal cell carcinoma, is a nonmelanoma skin cancer. It has been recognized as a public health problem, as nonmelanoma skin cancers are the most common malignant tumors in white individuals¹ and they are also becoming increasingly prevalent in different parts of the world.²⁻⁴ In Colombia, Sánchez et al.⁵ reported an increase in the rate of nonmelanoma skin cancer from 23 to 41 cases per 100 000 population between 2003 and 2007. In the authors' opinion, if this trend continues and if the risk factors remain unchanged, Colombia could face an incidence of 102 cases of nonmelanoma skin cancer per 100000 population by 2020, which would place a considerable burden on the health care system.

Exposure to UV radiation is a recognized factor in the development of SCC.^{6,7} However, there are multiple social, economic, cultural, economic, geographic, genotypic, and phenotypic factors that might modify the risk of SCC. Colombia is located in a part of the world with high levels of UV radiation exposure (equatorial region, latitude 0°).⁸ Furthermore, a large portion of the population lives in the Andes and works in agriculture, therefore spending long hours outdoors, exposed to the sun's rays. This geographic and socioeconomic context could modulate the true risk of SCC in the Colombian population.

Considering the lack of information on the risk factors for SCC in our country, we decided to perform a case-control study to identify the main risk factors for this cancer in a sample of patients from a national referral center for the treatment of skin disorders in Colombia.

Material and Methods

We performed an analytical case-control study at the Centro Dermatológico Federico Lleras Acosta E.S.E. in which we consecutively enrolled all patients diagnosed with histologically confirmed SCC in 2010 and 2011. Each case included in the study was matched to a control of the same sex and a similar age (± 3 years) to control for confounding

factors. The controls were selected from among patients who consulted for skin diseases other than cancer and who had no lesions suggestive of skin cancer on physical examination. Patients with an underlying photodermatosis who had been prescribed routine protection against UV radiation were excluded.

The sample size was calculated based on the risk of SCC according to occupational sun exposure reported by Zanetti et al.⁹ (odds ratio [OR], 2.2; 95% CI, 1.1-4.1). Using the formula designed by Machin and Campbell,^{10,11} we calculated a sample size of 296 individuals (148 cases and 148 controls) for a statistical power of 80% and a 95% confidence level.

The questionnaire used to assess risk factors was drawn up following a systematic review of the literature and input from a panel of experts from the study center. It was also tested for reliability to ensure reproducibility. Questions with the highest levels of reliability were included in the final version, which addressed issues such as sociodemographic variables, place of residence, occupational and recreational exposure to UV radiation, personal and family history, and clinical aspects. The Fitzpatrick scale, standardized by the study investigators,¹² was used to evaluate skin type.

The project was reviewed and approved by an independent ethics committee and conducted in accordance with national and international regulations. All participants in the study gave their informed consent to participate.

The statistical analysis included a general description of the study variables based on the scales used in each case. Bivariate analysis, using the McNemar χ^2 test, was performed to explore associations between the variables and SCC, with calculation of ORs and corresponding 95% CIs in each case. A *P* value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant. We compared the means and medians of continuous variables, which were then reclassified as categorical variables to calculate the ORs.

Finally, we performed multivariate analysis with conditional logistic regression and included variables with statistically significant results, clinically relevant variables, and possible confounders.

Table 1 General Characteristics of Cases and Controls.

	Cases (n = 166)		Controls (n = 166)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age, y	70.8	12.5	71.8	12.2
Education, y	7.3	5.2	7.7	6.2
Altitude of place of residence, m ^a	2292	682	2220	708
	No.	%	No.	%
Female sex	115	69	115	69
Born in rural area	88	53	70	42
Skin type				
I	5	3	1	0.6
II	87	52	54	33
III	56	34	70	42
IV	16	10	37	22
V	2	1	4	2.4
VI	0	0	0	0

^a Above sea level.

The goodness of fit of the model was evaluated using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Analyses were performed using the Stata statistical package (version 10).

Results

We included 332 individuals (166 cases and 166 controls). The mean (SD) age of the 2 groups was 71 (12.3) years and the median age was 74 years (range, 32-94 years). No significant difference was observed between cases and controls with regards to age ($P = .45$). Women accounted for 69% of the sample and were equally distributed between the 2 groups ($P = 1.0$). The 2 groups had a similar level of education (average of 7.3 years for cases and 7.7 years for controls, $P = .5$). No significant differences were observed between the groups for place of birth ($P = .56$). We also explored the relationship between risk of SCC and mean altitude above sea level of place of residence but found no differences between cases and controls ($P = .9$). The general characteristics of the cases and controls are shown in [Table 1](#).

According to the histology reports, the most common tumor type was infiltrating SCC (58%, 97/166), followed by SCC in situ (31%, 52/166); other histologic types accounted for the remaining (11%, 17/166). The cheeks were the most common site affected (33.1%) ([Table 2](#)). The main diagnoses in the control group were tinea pedis (31%, 51/166), rosacea (25%, 41/166), seborrheic dermatitis (22%, 37/166), contact dermatitis (15%, 25/166), and psoriasis (7%, 12/166).

The unadjusted ORs for the main variables analyzed are shown in [Table 3](#). Associations were found for rural residence and outdoor work. No significant between-group differences were observed for leisure or sporting activities.

The use of sun protection measures was remarkably low, with percentages ranging between 0% and 7%, with no significant differences between cases and controls. There were also no differences when we analyzed occupations involving risk due to arsenic exposure (carpentry trade and wood, gunpowder, or metal industries).

Table 2 Anatomic Location of Squamous Cell Carcinoma in Cases.

Location	No.	%
Cheeks	55	33.1
Nose	19	11.4
Forehead	15	9.0
Forearms and hands	19	11.4
Legs	13	7.8
Perioral region	11	6.6
Feet	8	4.8
Trunk	7	4.2
Upper lip	2	1.2
Lower lip	2	1.2
Others	15	9.0
Total	166	100

Significant associations were observed between SCC and a family history of skin cancer (OR, 7.8, $P = .0001$, smoking more than 10 cigarettes a day (OR, 4.22; $P = .0000$), and a history of actinic keratosis (OR, 8.35; $P = .0000$).

Skin types I to III (OR, 2.69; $P = .0010$) and light-colored eyes (blue, gray, or green) were also associated with an increased odds of developing SCC (OR, 2.98; $P = .0001$).

The most important clinical findings on physical examination were actinic conjunctivitis (OR, 3.14; $P = .0001$), actinic comedones (OR, 2.6; $P = .0019$), poikiloderma of Civatte (OR, 4.41; $P = .0000$), freckles (OR: 3.53; $P = .0009$), lentiginos on the face (OR, 3.13; $P = .0000$) or hands (OR, 2.4; $P = .0001$), and actinic keratosis on the face (OR, 13.2; $P = .0000$) or hands (OR, 5.22; $P = .0000$).

Using the results from the bivariate analysis, we generated a logistic regression model, including significant variables ($P < .05$) from the bivariate analysis and potential confounders. The model included demographic, occupational, and clinical variables. We found a significant association between SCC and residence in a rural area (especially after the age of 30 years), outdoor work, a family history of skin cancer, a history of smoking more than 10 cigarettes a day, clinical findings of actinic conjunctivitis, poikiloderma of Civatte, and several or multiple actinic keratosis lesions and freckles on the face. The details of the model are shown in [Table 4](#).

Discussion

In view of the rapid increase in skin cancer rates in Columbia⁵ and the need to develop national skin cancer awareness and prevention campaign,^{5,13} we decided to open a line of research into skin cancer at the Centro Dermatológico Federico Lleras Acosta de Colombia.

This article, which is one of the outcomes of our research to date, is the first study of the risk factors for SCC in Colombian patients and has generated a series of empirical data that may contribute to the design of a national skin cancer prevention program based on local evidence.

While increasing altitude has been associated with a considerable increase in the doses of UV radiation to which the skin is exposed,⁸ we found no significant differences between cases and controls with regard to the altitude of

Table 3 Bivariate Analysis of Risk Factors for Squamous Cell Carcinoma.

Risk Factor	Cases (n = 166)		Controls (n = 166)		Estimator	
	No.	%	No.	%	Odds Ratio ^a (95% CI)	P
I. Birth/residence in rural area						
<i>Birth</i>	88	53	70	42	1.54 (0.98-2.44)	.04
<i>Before age of 15 y</i>	93	56	67	40	1.88 (1.18-2.98)	.00
<i>Between ages of 15 and 30 y</i>	53	32	32	19	1.96 (1.15-3.37)	.00
<i>After age of 30 y</i>	45	27	17	10	3.25 (1.72-6.37)	.00
II. Outdoor work						
<i>Before age of 15 y</i>	99	59	68	40	2.12 (1.34-3.38)	.00
<i>Between ages of 15 and 30 y</i>	93	56	55	33	2.57 (1.60-4.11)	.00
<i>After age of 30 y</i>	71	42	42	25	2.20 (1.35-3.61)	.00
<i>Lifetime</i>	51	31	28	17	2.18 (1.25-3.83)	.00
<i>At some point</i>	124	74	84	51	2.88 (1.76-4.71)	.00
III. Outdoor sports or leisure activities						
<i>Before age of 15 y</i>	108	65	114	68	0.84 (0.52-1.37)	.48
<i>Between ages of 15 and 30 y</i>	56	34	49	30	1.21 (0.74-1.98)	.40
<i>After age of 30 y</i>	46	28	61	36	0.65 (0.40-1.07)	.07
<i>Lifetime</i>	122	73	130	78	0.76 (0.44-1.31)	.30
IV. Risk behavior during outdoor activities						
<i>Before age of 15 y</i>						
Failure to use a hat	43	43	33	48	0.81 (0.41-1.58)	.51
Failure to use sunscreen	98	99	66	97	2.96 (0.15-176.9)	.35
Failure to use long sleeves	63	63	48	70	0.72 (0.35-1.48)	.34
<i>Between ages of 15 and 30 y</i>						
Failure to use a hat	44	47	25	45	1.07 (0.52-2.22)	.82
Failure to use sunscreen	91	98	55	100	0 (0 - 3.25)	.27
Failure to use long sleeves	55	59	40	73	0.54 (0.24-1.17)	.09
<i>After age of 30 y</i>						
Failure to use a hat	33	46	18	43	1.15 (0.50-2.69)	.70
Failure to use sunscreen	68	96	39	93	1.74 (0.22-13.6)	.50
Failure to use long sleeves	36	51	29	69	0.46 (0.18-1.10)	.05
V. Occupations related to arsenic						
<i>Carpentry and wood industry</i>	6	3.6	3	1.8	2.02 (0.42-12.6)	.31
<i>Gunpowder industry</i>	1	0.6	2	1.2	0.49 (0.008-9.5)	.55
<i>Metal industry</i>	4	2.4	10	3.6	0.65 (0.13-2.82)	.51
VI. Other history						
<i>≥ 10 episodes of sunburn</i>	9	5	5	3	1.84 (0.54-7.15)	.27
<i>Family history of skin cancer</i>	21	13	3	1.8	7.8 (2.26-41.82)	.00
<i>> 10 cigarettes a day</i>	54	32	17	10	4.22 (2.25-8.17)	.00
<i>Actinic keratosis</i>	81	49	17	10	8.35 (4.52-15.95)	.00
<i>Personal history of cancer</i>	9	5.4	3	1.8	3.11 (.75-18.15)	.07
VI. Phenotype						
<i>Light-colored eyes (green-blue)</i>	52	31	22	13	2.98 (1.66-5.47)	.00
<i>Light-colored hair (blonde-red)</i>	50	30	40	24	1.39 (.83-2.33)	.18
<i>Skin type I-III vs IV-VI</i>	148	89	125	75	2.69 (1.42-5.23)	.00
VII. Clinical findings						
<i>Actinic conjunctivitis</i>	50	30	20	12	3.14 (1.72-5.88)	.00
<i>Pterygium</i>	37	22	33	19	1.15 (0.65-2.03)	.59
<i>Actinic comedones</i>	38	22	17	10	2.6 (1.35-5.15)	.00
<i>Poikiloderma of Civatte</i>	102	61	44	26	4.41 (2.70-7.23)	.00
<i>Freckles^b</i>	28	17	9	5.4	3.53 (1.55-8.80)	.00
<i>Lentigines on the face</i>	93	56	48	29	3.13 (1.94-5.06)	.00
<i>Lentigines on the hands</i>	82	49	48	29	2.4 (1.48-3.87)	.00

Table 3 (Continued)

Risk Factor	Cases (n = 166)		Controls (n = 166)		Estimator	
	No.	%	No.	%	Odds Ratio ^a (95% CI)	P
Actinic keratosis on the face	135	81	41	25	13.2 (7.6-23.2)	.00
Actinic keratosis on the hands	48	29	12	7.2	5.22 (2.57-11.2)	.00

^aUnadjusted odds ratio.

^bReference categories: none-few vs several-many.

their place of residence ($P = .9$). This lack of association may be because the hospital at which the controls were selected, despite being a national referral center, receives a large proportion of patients from the capital, which is situated at an altitude of 2600 m above sea level.

We also found no significant differences between cases and controls for level of education ($P = .77$), possibly because the majority of patients seen at the hospital are from a middle socioeconomic background (levels 2 and 3), which might have resulted in a natural matching by educational level, thereby possibly not allowing detection of any true association between SCC and education.

As mentioned earlier, it is widely accepted that UV radiation is the main risk factor for the development of SCC,^{6,7,9} and while it is logical to assume that individuals who work outdoors are at higher risk than the general population, our findings are important in that they confirm this. Thus, even after controlling for potential confounders (Table 3), we saw that people who work outdoors are almost 3 times as likely as those who do not to develop SCC (adjusted OR [aOR], 2.98; 95% CI, 1.55-5.72). These results are in agreement with data from a recent systematic review by Schmitt et al.⁶ showing a pooled OR of 1.77 (95% CI, 1.40-2.22) for SCC occurrence and occupational UV radiation exposure.

In our series, 80% of cases worked in the agriculture and livestock sector. These results fuel the debate on whether or not skin cancer should be considered an occupational disease, a development that would have important implications in terms of treatment and prevention. By comparing residence in rural and urban areas at different ages, we were able to detect differences between risk at different life stages. In the multivariate analysis, we found that living in a rural area after the age of 30 years was associated with a higher odds of developing SCC (adjusted OR, 3.13; 95% CI, 1.35-7.25). Living in a rural area has been associated with occupational exposure to UV radiation. However, in our

model (and population), both rural residence and occupational UV exposure emerged as candidates for intervention as rural workers are vulnerable in more ways than through simple exposure to UV radiation. People who live in rural environments are subject to high levels of sun exposure, but additionally they receive little information on preventive health care, have to travel long distances to see a health specialist, and may often live in areas with unreliable communication and transport networks.

Similar findings have been reported by other authors, who have described that people residing in rural areas are less likely to use sun protection measures, despite their exposure to higher levels of UV radiation. This could be related to factors such as education, access to communication, income, race, and others.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ Such findings are particularly important in Colombia as they highlight the need to design prevention strategies and campaigns that reach those living in rural areas, where there is a high risk of SCC occurrence.

It is important to promote educational strategies encouraging the population to use alternative preventive measures and UV radiation blockers, particularly if we consider that 81% of SCCs develop on the face and other sun-exposed areas. Personal protection measures and strategies aimed at avoiding sun exposure at peak hours of radiation are effective in reducing skin cancer rates.^{15,18,19} Our findings show that, in the best of cases, under 7% of cases and controls used sunscreen during the different life stages analyzed. This might have several explanations, including economic factors (e.g., prohibitive cost of sunscreen products for individuals at risk). Other important factors that should be considered are false beliefs and a lack of preventive education, among others. There are, however, other, simple protective measures that are not so strongly influenced by financial considerations, such as wearing a hat or long sleeves, but under 60% of cases and controls reported taking such measures. There is thus clearly a need to design

Table 4 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors for Squamous Cell Carcinoma^a.

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P
Actinic keratosis on the face	9.23	4.98-17.10	.00
Family history of skin cancer	6.55	1.48-28.90	.01
Freckles	3.68	1.33-11.12	.01
Poikiloderma of Civatte	3.29	1.74-6.19	.00
Residence in a rural area after age of 30 y	3.13	1.35-7.25	.00
Lifetime outdoor work	2.98	1.55-5.72	.00
Smoking >10 cigarettes a day	2.96	1.35-6.50	.00
Actinic conjunctivitis	2.68	1.21-5.92	.01
Skin types I-III vs IV-VI	1.96	.87-4.38	.10

^a P value of model, .0000; Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test, 0.79.

comprehensive strategies that encourage the use of physical protection methods and to increase access to sunscreens among at-risk populations, as it has been demonstrated that these products play an important role in preventing skin cancer.^{20,21} We found a family history of skin cancer to be a risk factor for the development of SCC, just as it is for BCC.²² Different genes have been implicated in the development of SCC,²³ but considering the variability that has been observed, we believe it would be worthwhile performing genetic studies in the Colombian population. Skin type is associated with genetics. Coinciding with reports for other populations,^{24–26} in our bivariate analysis we observed that SCC was associated with skin types I to III (OR, 2.69), although this association lost its significance in the multivariate analysis. Considering that Colombia is a tropical country with high levels of UV radiation throughout the year, it is possible that fair-skinned individuals might have experienced sunburn during the earlier years of their lives and as a result decided to avoid the sun, thereby reducing the associated risk.

Another important finding in our study is that related to signs of sun damage (e.g., lentigines, poikiloderma, freckles, and actinic keratosis) detected during physical examination. These findings could be particularly important in terms of primary and secondary prevention policies, as educational strategies aimed at helping health care providers (and primary care physicians in particular) to identify these signs during routine visits would allow them to more closely monitor their patients and would also favor early detection of SCC. The risk associated with actinic keratosis is particularly high and therefore early detection and treatment of this condition should be a health care priority.

Finally, smoking prevention interventions should also be developed. While the association between smoking and SCC is a subject of debate,²⁷ in our series, smokers had a 2.96 increased odds of developing SCC compared to nonsmokers after adjustment for confounding factors. It should also be noted that smoking has been associated with SCC not only of the skin, but also of the mucosa, which carries a higher risk of metastasis and therefore worse prognosis.²⁸

In conclusion, we have identified 2 major categories of risk of SCC in our study population: a personal history of exposure to different risk factors and signs of sun damage, detectable on physical examination. Our findings could help clinicians implement preventive and follow-up measures in this population and also help inform policies for SCC control based on local evidence.

Ethical Disclosures

Protection of humans and animals. The authors declare that no tests were carried out in humans or animals for the purpose of this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed their hospital's protocol on the publication of data concerning patients and that all patients included in the study have received sufficient information and have given their written informed consent to participate in the study.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no private patient data appear in this article.

Funding

The study was conducted with research investment funds from the Centro Dermatológico Federico Lleras Acosta, E.S.E., in Bogotá, Colombia.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Centro Dermatológico Federico Lleras Acosta, E.S.E., Bogotá, Colombia.

References

- Madan V, Lear JT, Szeimies RM. Non-melanoma skin cancer. *Lancet*. 2010;375:673–85.
- Miller DL, Weinstock MA. Nonmelanoma skin cancer in the United States: Incidence. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1994;30:774–8.
- Christenson LJ, Borrowman TA, Vachon CM, Tollefson MM, Otley CC, Weaver AL, et al. Incidence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas in a population younger than 40 years. *JAMA*. 2005;294:681–90.
- Staples MP, Elwood M, Burton RC, Williams JL, Marks R, Giles GG. Non-melanoma skin cancer in Australia: the 2002 national survey and trends since 1985. *Med J Aust*. 2006;184:6–10.
- Sánchez G, de la Hoz F, Castañeda C, Nova J. Incidencia de cáncer de piel en Colombia. Años 2003-2007. *Piel*. 2011;26:171–7.
- Schmitt J, Seidler A, Diepgen TL, Bauer A. Occupational ultraviolet light exposure increases the risk for the development of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2011;164:291–307.
- Armstrong BK, Kricger A. The epidemiology of UV induced skin cancer. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2001;63:8–18.
- Lucas R, McMichael T, Smith W, Armstrong BK, Prüss-Üstün A. Organization. WH. Solar ultraviolet radiation: Global burden of disease from solar ultraviolet radiation Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006. p. 250.
- Zanetti R, Rosso S, Martinez C, Nieto A, Miranda A, Mercier M, et al. Comparison of risk patterns in carcinoma and melanoma of the skin in men: a multi-centre case-case-control study. *Br J Cancer*. 2006;94:743–51.
- Machin D, Campbell MJ. Statistical tables for the design of clinical trials Oxford, St. Louis: Blackwell Scientific; Mosby; 1987. p. 210.
- Campbell MJ, Julious SA, Altman DG. Estimating sample sizes for binary, ordered categorical, and continuous outcomes in two group comparisons. *BMJ*. 1995;311:1145–8.
- Sánchez G, Nova J. Confiabilidad y reproducibilidad de la escala de fototipos de Fitzpatrick antes y después de un ejercicio de estandarización clínica. *Biomedica*. 2008;28:544–50.
- Sánchez G, Nova J, Arias N. Prácticas frente a la radiación ultravioleta y características epidemiológicas de un grupo de pacientes con carcinoma basocelular en un centro de referencia nacional en Colombia. *Rev Col Cancer*. 2010;14:144–55.

14. Zahnd WE, Goldfarb J, Scaife SL, Francis ML. Rural-urban differences in behaviors to prevent skin cancer: An analysis of the Health Information National Trends Survey. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2010;62:950–6.
15. Tarbuk A, Grancaric AM, Situm M, Martinis M. UV clothing and skin cancer. *Coll Antropol.* 2010;34 Suppl 2:179–83.
16. Feher K, Cercato MC, Prantner I, Dombi Z, Burkali B, Paller J, et al. Skin cancer risk factors among primary school children: investigations in Western Hungary. *Prev Med.* 2010;51:320–4.
17. Mazloomi Mahmoodabad SS, Noorbala MT, Rahae Z, Mohammadi M. Knowledge, attitude and performance study of secondary school teachers of Yazd city regarding skin cancer. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2010;24:424–8.
18. Benvenuto-Andrade C, Zen B, Fonseca G, de Villa D, Cestari T. Sun exposure and sun protection habits among high-school adolescents in Porto Alegre, Brazil. *Photochem Photobiol.* 2005;81:630–5.
19. Office. WHOD-GsOC. Global solar UV index: An educational tool to reduce risks of skin cancer and cataract. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002. p. 4.
20. Mahé E, Beauchet A, de Maleissye MF, Saiag P. Are sunscreens luxury products? *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2011;65:73–9.
21. Smith GJ, Miller IJ, Clare JF, Diffey BL. The effect of UV absorbing sunscreens on the reflectance and the consequent protection of skin. *Photochem Photobiol.* 2002;75:122–5.
22. Sánchez G, Nova J, de la Hoz F. Factores de riesgo de Carcinoma Basocelular. Un estudio del Centro Nacional de Dermatología de Colombia. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2012;103:294–300.
23. Ismail F, Ikram M, Purdie K, Harwood C, Leigh I, Storey A. Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and the DNA damage response: pATM expression patterns in pre-malignant and malignant keratinocyte skin lesions. *PLoS One.* 2011;6:21271.
24. Han J, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ. Risk factors for skin cancers: A nested case-control study within the Nurses' Health Study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2006;35:1514–21.
25. Rosso S, Zanetti R, Martinez C, Tormo MJ, Schraub S, Sancho-Garnier H, et al. The multicentre south European study «Helios». II: Different sun exposure patterns in the aetiology of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. *Br J Cancer.* 1996;73:1447–54.
26. Kricke A, Armstrong BK, English DR, Heenan PJ. Pigmentary and cutaneous risk factors for non-melanocytic skin cancer—a case-control study. *Int J Cancer.* 1991;48:650–62.
27. McBride P, Olsen CM, Green AC. Tobacco smoking and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: a 16-year longitudinal population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2011;20:1778–83.
28. Szymanska K, Hung RJ, Wunsch-Filho V, Eluf-Neto J, Curado MP, Koifman S, et al. Alcohol and tobacco, and the risk of cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract in Latin America: a case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2011;22:1037–46.