



ACTAS Derma-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at
www.actasdermo.org



RESIDENT'S FORUM

RF - Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Inhibitors and Skin Toxicity: The Search for a Management Protocol[☆]



FR - Inhibidores del factor de crecimiento epidérmico y toxicidad cutánea: en busca de un protocolo de manejo

J.J. Andrés-Lencina,^{*} R. Aragón-Miguel, A. Calleja-Algarra

Departamento de Dermatología, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

KEYWORDS

EGFR inhibitors;
Cutaneous toxicity;
Papulopustular reaction;
Treatment guide

PALABRAS CLAVE

IEGFR;
Toxicodermias;
Reacción papulopustulosa;
Guía de tratamiento

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors have revolutionized the treatment of cancer. Skin involvement is one of their most prevalent adverse effects. These agents often have a considerable impact on the patient's quality of life and constitute a common reason

for consulting a dermatologist. EGFR plays an important role in epidermal and pilosebaceous homeostasis and restricts interleukin 1-dependent inflammation at the level of the hair follicle.¹ Its inhibition frequently causes a folliculocentric papulopustular reaction, mainly affecting sebaceous areas (face, neck, retroauricular area, shoulders, intermammary space, and scalp), with no palmo-plantar involvement. The term "acneiform", which is widely used to describe these reactions, is erroneous because of the absence of comedones, nodules, and cysts, as well as the pruriginous character of the reactions.² Papulopustular reaction occurs early, typically during the first 2 weeks after starting the drug. The reaction is dose-dependent and is more severe with monoclonal antibodies than with tyrosine-kinase inhibitors. Severity is associated with antitumor effectiveness and with survival rates.³

Reactions are classified into different grades depending on their intensity, impact on patient quality of life, extension, and whether or not they affect activities of daily living. Despite the absence of clinical trials in this area, various case series and experience based on clinical practice have made it possible to draw up a series of guidelines for treatment.^{4,5} Table 1 shows recommendations for management of papulopustular rash, according to the latest clinical practice guidelines and criteria used to define the intensity of the reaction.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Andrés-Lencina JJ, Aragón-Miguel R, Calleja-Algarra A. FR - Inhibidores del factor de crecimiento epidérmico y toxicidad cutánea: en busca de un protocolo de manejo. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2019;110:499–500.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jjandreslen@gmail.com (J.J. Andrés-Lencina).

Table 1 Recommendations for the Management of Papulopustular Rash Caused by Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Inhibitors.

Grade 1, mild ($< 10\%$ BSA affected, practically asymptomatic. No impact on activities of daily living or instrumental activities of daily living. No superinfection)	Low/medium-potency corticosteroids 1-2 times daily Topical clindamycin 2%, 1- 2 times daily
Grade 2, moderate (10%-30% BSA affected, mild symptoms. Impact on instrumental activities of daily living. No superinfection)	Minocycline or doxycycline 100 mg/12 h
Grade 3, severe ($> 30\%$ BSA affected, intense symptoms. Significant impact on activities of daily living. Superinfection or risk of superinfection)	Isotretinoin 20 mg/24 h Evaluate suspending the drug (at least 2 wk) Evaluate adding oral corticosteroids, prednisone 0.5 mg/kg for 7 d

Abbreviation: BSA, body surface area.

However, we do have more solid evidence on preventive treatment. The Skin Toxicity Evaluation Protocol with Panitumumab (STEPP) study revealed a statistically significant decrease in the incidence of grade ≥ 2 reactions in more than 50% of patients and in the time to onset of reactions, with no added adverse effects in the arm that received preventive treatment with a combination of low-potency topical corticosteroids, skin moisturizers, sunscreen, and doxycycline 100 mg/12 h with respect to reactive treatment (any treatment considered by the investigator, from 1 to 6 weeks).⁶ A subsequent study that compared the preventive efficacy of minocycline with placebo revealed statistically significant findings after 8 weeks of treatment. Various studies have been performed to evaluate the preventive efficacy of tetracycline, tazarotene, pimecrolimus, and sunscreen in monotherapy, although none revealed statistically significant results.

Given the increasing use of these agents and their predominantly cutaneous toxicity and considerable impact on quality of life, which may even lead to suspension of a treatment that is essential for the patient, dermatologists should know how to recognize and manage these reactions.

References

1. Rodeck U. Skin toxicity caused by EGFR antagonists-an autoimmune-inflammatory condition triggered by deregulated IL-1 signaling? *J Cell Physiol.* 2009;218:32-4.
2. Lacouture ME, Basti S, Patel J, Benson A 3rd. The SERIES clinic: An interdisciplinary approach to the management of toxicities of EGFR inhibitors. *J Support Oncol.* 2006;4:236-8.
3. Van Cutsem E, Tejpar S, Vanbeckevoort D, Peeters M, Humblet Y, Gelderblom H, et al. Inpatient cetuximab dose escalation in metastatic colorectal cancer according to the grade of early skin reactions: the randomized EVEREST study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30:2861-8.
4. Lacouture ME, Anadkat MJ, Bensadoun RJ, Bryce J, Chan A, Epstein JB, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and treatment of EGFR inhibitor-associated dermatologic toxicities. *Support Care Cancer.* 2011;19:1079-95.
5. Stanculeanu DL, Zob D, Toma OC, Georgescu B, Papageorghe L, Mihaila RI. Cutaneous toxicities of molecular targeted therapies. *Maedica (Buchar).* 2017;12:48-54.
6. Lacouture ME, Mitchell EP, Piperdi B, Pillai MV, Shearer H, Iannotti N, et al. Skin toxicity evaluation protocol with panitumumab (STEPP), a phase II, open-label, randomized trial evaluating the impact of a pre-emptive skin treatment regimen on skin toxicities and quality of life in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:1351-7.